

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/723,099	Applicant(s) FULLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Inder P. Mehra	Art Unit 2617	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Thomas W. Humphrey, Reg. No. 34,353.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2006
Time: 5 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
in claim 1 line 10, limitation "said analog telephone line" lacks antecedent basis.
Claims discussed:
Claim 1
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
As set forth in office action, in claim 1 line 10, limitation "said analog telephone line" lacking antecedent basis has been replaced by "said analog telephone connection"...
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inder P. Mehra 10/10/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)